

# Water and Sewer Rates and Rate Structures in Georgia July 2010

This document details the results of a survey of water and sewer rates and rate structures conducted by the Georgia Environmental Finance Authority and the Environmental Finance Center in 2010. Rates and rate structures are analyzed for public water and sewer utilities throughout the State. For more information or to download a listing of water and sewer rate tables, to use interactive Rates Dashboards designed to allow the user to compare rates among groups of utilities and analyze the affordability of services and the extent to which rates are financially sustainable, or to view rate sheets of individual utilities, please visit <u>www.gefa.org</u> and <u>www.efc.unc.edu</u>.

# Contents

Introduction	2
Overview of Rates and Rate Structures	3
Base Charges	3
Variable Charges: Uniform, Increasing Block, Decreasing Block, and Other Rate Structures	4
What Utilities Charge their Customers	7
Residential Water and Sewer Bills	7
Commercial Water and Sewer Bills	9
What Utilities Charge Customers Located Outside their Political Boundaries (Inside vs. Outside)	10
What Utilities Charge by River Basin	10
Annual Rate and Rate Structure Adjustments	12
Changes in Rate Structures in the Last Year	12
Changes in Residential Rates in the Last Year	12
Changes in Conservation Price Signals in the Last Year	13
The Status of Full Cost Pricing in Georgia	14
Multi-Year Trends in Utility Finances and Rate Setting	15
About this Report	16

## Introduction

Water and sewer rate setting is one of a local government's most important environmental and public health responsibilities. Water and sewer rates ultimately determine how much revenue a community will have to maintain vital infrastructure. The purpose of this document is to help utilities in rate setting by providing an up-to-date, detailed survey of current statewide rate structures and trends. This report represents a collaborative effort between the <u>Georgia Environmental Finance Authority</u> and the <u>Environmental Finance Center</u>.

This survey was funded primarily by the Georgia Environmental Finance Authority. Additional support for this project came from the Georgia Association of Water Professionals, the Georgia Municipal Association, the Georgia Department of Natural Resources' Environmental Protection Division, the Georgia Department of Community Affairs, the Association County Commissioners of Georgia, the Georgia Rural Water Association, and the US Environmental Protection Agency.

Over the course of this survey, 523 water and sewer utilities were contacted by email, fax, letter or phone, and 461 utilities (88 percent) responded by sending in their rate schedules. These utilities account for 96 percent of the population served by all public community water and sewer utilities in the State. Table 1 describes the utilities analyzed. Some utilities use more than one rate structure for different portions of their service areas, raising the total number of rate structures in our sample to 495. Copies of the 495 rate structures of those participating utilities are available online at <a href="https://www.efc.unc.edu/ga/rates.html">www.efc.unc.edu/ga/rates.html</a>.

Institutional Arrangement	Provides Water and Sewer	Provides Water Only	Provides Sewer Only	Total
Municipality	280	94	3	377
County/District	25	19	0	44
Authority	20	17	1	38
Consolidated Government	2	0	0	2
Total Number of Utilities	327	130	4	461
Number of Rate Structures	349	136	10	495

 Table 1: Number of Participating Utilities with Rates Data for 2010

In addition to this report, tables of each utility's rates and key components of their rate structures are available from GEFA (www.gefa.org) and the EFC (www.efc.unc.edu). It is important to stress that an examination of rates and rate structures will only tell part of the story. Pressure to maintain low or relatively low rates has the potential to force utilities to run a deficit or avoid making necessary operational and capital expenditures. Ideally, rates should reflect the cost of providing service which depends on diverse factors including size of treatment facilities, customer base, age of assets, type of water supply, and quality of receiving waters. Two neighboring utilities with similar customer bases may have very different costs that justify very different rate structures and rates. Therefore, policy decisions drawn from the comparative information in this document should also consider many other factors such as age of system, geographic location, site-specific regulatory requirements, source of water, demand, and availability of resources. Free, interactive Rates Dashboards that combine utility financial, physical and customer characteristics with the capability of comparing rates among utilities that are similar in various categories are available on the web at www.efc.unc.edu/RatesDashboards.

**High rates do not necessarily reflect poor or inefficient management.** In fact, some utilities with low rates do not generate sufficient revenue to properly maintain their system's assets, thereby reducing short-term investments that are likely to have long-term adverse cost and service impacts. Other utilities may have low rates because they have not re-examined their rate structures in many years. Even when a utility customer base

does not grow, operating costs rise every year and rates should be examined and potentially readjusted on a yearly basis.

### **Overview of Rates and Rate Structures**

Utilities employ a range of rate structures to determine what their customers pay. Almost all utilities use a combination of base charges and variable charges in their rate structures. There is considerable variation in how these are calculated and how they are charged for different classes of customers.

### **Base Charges**

Base charges contribute to revenue stability because they do not vary from month to month, regardless of consumption. However, high base charges can also make it difficult for a utility to encourage conservation for the same reason. The number of rate structures with base charges and the range of the charges are shown in Figure 1. The median<sup>1</sup> base charges are presented in Table 2 by utility size. The median residential base charge applied by utilities in

### Figure 1: Monthly Base Charges for Residential Customers among 483 Water and 352 Wastewater Rate Structures



2010 is \$11.70 per month for water and \$11.54 per month for sewer. For combined utilities, the median combined water and sewer base charge is \$22.71 per month.

	Wat	Water Rate Structures			Sewer Rate Structures		
Size of Utility (Service Population)	Total Number of Structures	Number with Base Charge	Median Base Charge	Total Number of Structures	Number with Base Charge	Median Base Charge	
1 – 999	131	131	\$12.50	51	51	\$14.00	
1,000 - 2,499	95	95	\$12.50	73	73	\$14.00	
2,500 - 4,999	82	82	\$11.10	71	71	\$11.00	
5,000 - 9,999	60	59	\$10.26	55	54	\$10.00	
10,000 - 24,999	60	60	\$11.98	59	58	\$11.85	
25,000+	57	56	\$9.00	50	45	\$9.20	
All Rate Structures	485	483	\$11.70	359	352	\$11.54	

### Table 2: Monthly Base Charges in Water and Sewer Rate Structures, by Utility Size

While nearly every rate structure (100 percent of water and 98 percent of sewer rate structures) has a base charge, their amounts vary by utility size. The largest utilities have smaller base charges than the smallest utilities. This may be a reflection of the fact that larger utilities have broader customer bases that provide a more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Most of the statistics reported in this report refer to *medians*. Exactly half of the rate structures in the sample have a value that is equal to or greater than (or equal to or lower than) the median value. The median is preferred over the average because averages are influenced by exceptionally high or low values whereas medians are not.

stable revenue stream. Smaller utilities may, on average, have less stable customer consumption and therefore decide to shift a greater portion of their operating costs into the base charge.

The majority of rate structures (66 percent of water and 57 percent of sewer rate structures) include a minimum amount of water consumption or sewer disposal with their base charges (see Figure 2). For these utilities, the variable portion of the rate structure only takes effect when a customer uses more than the minimum included in the base charge. Thus, all customers of these utilities who consume or dispose of an amount up to the minimum allocation would receive the same bill, which is equal to the base charge. For both water and



sewer utilities, the median amount of allowance included with the base charge is 2,000 gallons per month (GPM). Only 5 percent of water and 4 percent of sewer utilities include more than 3,000 GPM with the base charge.

### Variable Charges: Uniform, Increasing Block, Decreasing Block, and Other Rate Structures

Figures 3-6 present information on water and sewer rate structures for "inside" customers: those who live within a utility's political jurisdiction or municipal boundaries. The three most common rate structures are uniform, increasing block, and decreasing block. In a <u>uniform rate</u> structure, the rate at which water/sewer is charged does not change as the customer uses more water. In an <u>increasing block</u> structure, the rate increases with greater water consumption. This structure is often employed by utilities that want to encourage conservation. In a <u>decreasing block</u> structure, water rates decrease as consumption rises. This structure might be used to encourage economic development.

Other rate structures used in Georgia include a hybrid of increasing and decreasing blocks where rates increase or decrease for specific targeted blocks of consumption, seasonal rate structures, rates that are capped at a maximum billable consumption amount, and tiered flat fees. Seasonal uniform rate structures support conservation, especially for those utilities that experience large seasonal consumption changes (e.g. tourist locations). Sewer bills are almost always calculated based on the amount of metered water consumption; however, a fraction of sewer utilities use rate structures with a cap on residential sewer consumption. For example, if a utility caps their sewer bill at 20,000 gallons, a customer that uses 25,000 gallons of water will only be charged for 20,000 gallons of sewer disposal. This sewer structure does not send strong conservation message and provides less incentive for conservation among high volume users.



Most water and sewer utilities use the same rate structure for residential, commercial, and industrial customers, but some have separate rates for different customer classes. In this survey, 42 percent of water utilities have a separate rate structure for their commercial customers, and a fraction of these utilities also has a separate structure that pertains to their industrial customers. On the sewer side, 43 percent have a separate rate structure for their commercial customers. Information on the types of commercial rate structures for those utilities with designated commercial customer classes is presented in Figures 5 and 6.



While some utilities design separate rate structures for commercial users, other utilities use only one rate structure but design the blocks so that they inherently distinguish residential use from that of large commercial customers. A common practice is to set the first block high enough so that essentially all residential consumption is charged one rate (which is equivalent to a uniform rate for these customers) while most large commercial customers will typically exceed the first block, thus paying an increasing or decreasing block rate. Figure 7 shows how many rate structures include various amounts of consumption and disposal in the first block of their residential block rate structure. An examination of rate structures over the range







5

of typical residential consumption reveals that many increasing and decreasing block structures are effectively uniform below 15,000 GPM (shown in Figures 8 and 9). For example, whereas 6 percent of residential water rate structures are decreasing block structures (Figure 3), only 4 percent actually apply decreasing rates within the first 15,000 GPM of consumption (Figure 8) – the other 2 percent have a first block that exceeds the range of typical residential use. Figures 8 and 9 also show the percent of the population served under each rate structure applicable to consumption/disposal levels of up to 15,000 GPM. While only 41 percent of the water rate structures are increasing block structures through 15,000 GPM, 77 percent of all residential customers are served by these rate structures. Figure 9 shows that the vast majority of residential customers pay uniform rates for sewer disposal.



Residential customers in the Southeast consume an average of 5,000 - 6,000 gallons per month (GPM). Among the 485 water rate structures in the sample, the median price for the next 1,000 gallons (not including base charges) at the consumption level of 5,000 GPM is \$2.73 per 1,000 gallons – 50 percent of the water rate structures have a price that is between \$1.85 and \$4.00 per 1,000 gallons. This \$2.73 per 1,000 gallons compares to a median price of \$2.54 per 1,000 gallons for the water rate structures studied in the 2009 survey.

The price for sewer is slightly higher. Among the 359 sewer rate structures in the sample, the median sewer price for the next 1,000 gallons at 5,000 GPM is \$3.00 per 1,000 gallons – 50 percent of the sewer rate structures have a price that is between \$2.06 and \$4.50 per 1,000 gallons. This \$3.00 per 1,000 gallons compares to a median price of \$2.72 per 1,000 gallons for the sewer rate structures studied in the 2009 survey. The range of water and sewer prices for the next 1,000 gallons at the 6,000 GPM consumption level is shown on Figure 10.

Among the 349 combined water and sewer rate structures, the median combined price for the next 1,000 gallons is 5.90 per 1,000 gallons (compared to 5.30 in 2009) – 50 percent of the combined rate structures have a price that is between \$4.00 and \$8.73 per 1,000 gallons.





### What Utilities Charge their Customers

### **Residential Water and Sewer Bills**



Figures 11 and 12 show the amount utilities bill their residential water and sewer customers, respectively, for a range of consumption/disposal amounts on a monthly basis<sup>2</sup>. These calculations include base charges and consumption allowances. The colored bars highlight what the middle 80 percent of utilities charge (between the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile) across the consumption spectrum. Utilities that charge below or above the colored bars are charging less than or more than 90 percent of all other utilities in the sample, respectively.

The median monthly amount charged for zero gallons of water is \$11.66, \$21.00 for 5,000 gallons, \$23.45 for 6,000 gallons, and \$34.00 for 10,000 gallons. As a point of comparison, a gallon of potable water at a major

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  For utilities that bill on a non-monthly basis (bi-monthly or quarterly), charges have been calculated and presented on a monthly basis to allow for accurate comparison.

grocery retailer is approximately \$1.00 while the median bill for 6,000 gallons is approximately \$0.004 per gallon, which is 286 times cheaper. Despite the fact that water is a necessity for life, it is surprisingly inexpensive when compared to cable television, a luxury commodity. An informal survey of cable prices in Georgia finds that the average community price for basic cable, excluding premium packages, is \$44.44, or more than twice the average water bill.

Sewer bills are generally higher than water bills. The median monthly sewer bill for customers disposing zero gallons of water is \$11.32, \$23.75 for 5,000 gallons, \$26.35 for 6,000 gallons, and \$38.88 for 10,000 gallons.

The range of combined water and sewer bills for various levels of consumption is shown on Figure 13. The median monthly combined bill for zero gallons is \$22.45, \$45.25 for 5,000 gallons, \$51.01 for 6,000 gallons and \$74.11 for 10,000 gallons.





Table 3 shows that the median water and sewer bills among different size classes of utilities are roughly the same; i.e., there is no apparent economy of scale. Table 4 shows that municipal utilities generally have lower water and sewer bills than other service providers, possibly because the population density is highest for municipal utilities, which translates into lower per customer costs (and therefore bills) for distribution and collection. Conversely, county and consolidated government utilities, which are typically more spread out, have significantly higher water bills.

Table 3: Median Water and Sewer Month	y Bills at 5,000 GPM, by	/ Utility Siz	ze
---------------------------------------	--------------------------	---------------	----

	Water Rate Structures		Sewer Ra	te Structures
Size of Utility (Service Population)	Total Number of Structures	Median Monthly Bill at 5,000 GPM	Total Number of Structures	Median Monthly Bill at 5,000 GPM
1 – 999	131	\$20.00	51	\$20.50
1,000 – 2,499	95	\$21.50	73	\$25.00
2,500 – 4,999	82	\$20.60	71	\$22.61
5,000 – 9,999	60	\$19.75	55	\$21.78
10,000 – 24,999	60	\$23.20	59	\$24.85
25,000+	57	\$22.58	50	\$26.75
All Rate Structures	485	\$21.00	359	\$23.75

	Water Ra	te Structures	Sewer Rate Structures	
Utility Type	Total Number of Structures	Median Monthly Bill at 5,000 GPM	Total Number of Structures	Median Monthly Bill at 5,000 GPM
Municipality	390	\$20.00	300	\$21.97
County/District	45	\$27.50	26	\$28.79
Authority	41	\$29.25	25	\$28.99
Consolidated Government	3	\$32.91	4	\$29.53
All Rate Structures	485	\$21.00	359	\$23.75

### Table 4: Median Water and Sewer Monthly Bills at 5,000 GPM, by Utility Type

### **Commercial Water and Sewer Bills**

Figures 14 and 15 show the median monthly water and sewer bills, respectively, for commercial customers at different levels of consumption and disposal<sup>3</sup>. The middle 80 percent of charges are also indicated. The median monthly bill for commercial customers consuming zero gallons (on a  $\frac{3}{4}$ " meter<sup>4</sup>) is \$13.71 for water and \$14.46 for sewer. The median monthly bill for 50,000 GPM is \$160.49 for water and \$185.34 for sewer. The median bill for those consuming 500,000 GPM (on a  $\frac{1}{2}$ " or 2" meter) is \$1,506.25 for water and \$1,806.85 for sewer. The variation in commercial bills across rate structures increases significantly as the consumption/disposal amount increases.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The residential rate structure is used to calculate the billings for commercial customers except for the utilities that specify different rates and rate structures for commercial or non-residential customers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some utilities use different base charges for different meter sizes for customers. Bills for consumption or disposal of up to 100,000 GPM was computed assuming a 5/8" or 3/4" meter size, 250,000 GPM assuming a 1" meter size, and 500,000 GPM assuming a 11/2" or 2" meter size. When applicable, the "next largest" meter size is used in calculating the bills when a utility does not utilize a specific meter size.

# What Utilities Charge Customers Located Outside their Political Boundaries (Inside vs. Outside)

All of the charges presented above refer to what utilities charge customers that live within their political boundaries. Municipal utilities often serve customers who live outside of city limits, and a handful of other utilities specify geographical boundaries within their service areas and identify their customers as those residing "inside" and "outside" those boundaries. In many cases, utilities charge different rates for customers living inside or outside the boundary. Overall, 41 percent of water rate structures and 40 percent of sewer rate structures specified different rates for customers living outside, and the vast majority were for municipal utilities. In fact, 51 percent of the rate structures from municipal utilities in the sample charged more for outside customers than for inside customers. At 5,000 GPM, outside customers who are charged a different rate than inside customers pay, on the median, a water bill that is 1.41 times more than inside customers. For sewer, the median ratio is 1.41. The majority of utilities with different outside rates increase their rates by less than 50 percent for outside customers, as shown in Figure 16. Figure 17 shows median charges for combined residential water and sewer service for all utilities that have a separate rate schedule for outside customers for both water and sewer service. The median bill charged to inside customers for 5,000 GPM of water and sewer combined is \$41.85 compared to \$60.10 for outside customers.



### What Utilities Charge by River Basin

It is important to consider the operating environment when comparing rates among utilities. Source water quality and quantity can have a significant impact on the cost to produce water. Likewise, receiving water quality can have a major impact on the cost of sewer treatment. In an attempt to consider these impacts, median water and sewer bills for 5,000 GPM were calculated for each of Georgia's 14 major river basins; they are displayed in Table 5 and Figure 18.

The highest median water charge can be found in the Coosa (\$24.38) and the Oconee (\$24.55) River Basins. The lowest median water charges, by contrast, are found in Southern Georgia in the Suwannee (\$16.73) and Ochlockonee (\$15.40) River Basins. These basins are mostly rural and lower water rates could be related to the high number of small utilities using groundwater. The highest median wastewater charges can be found in the

highly urbanized Coosa (\$30.76) and Chattahoochee (\$28.27) River Basins while the lowest median wastewater charges can be found in the lower coastal plain Ogeechee (\$19.77) and Altamaha (\$18.00) River Basins.

Table 5: Median Water and Sewer Month	ly Bills at 5,000 GPM, by River Basin
---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

	Water Rate Structures		Sewer Ra	te Structures
	Total	Median	Total	Median
River Basin	Number of	Monthly Bill	Number of	Monthly Bill at
	Structures	at 5,000 GPM	Structures	5,000 GPM
Altamaha	18	\$18.21	13	\$18.00
Chattahoochee	54	\$23.70	38	\$28.27
Coosa	46	\$24.38	40	\$30.76
Flint	73	\$23.20	47	\$21.92
Ochlockonee	11	\$15.40	6	\$25.20
Ocmulgee	52	\$22.05	41	\$24.45
Oconee	42	\$24.55	31	\$24.44
Ogeechee	39	\$18.00	30	\$19.77
Saint Mary's	3	\$19.75	2	\$23.21
Satilla	22	\$17.28	18	\$24.40
Savannah	54	\$22.52	41	\$22.61
Suwannee	36	\$16.73	24	\$22.04
Tallapoosa	14	\$22.86	10	\$25.03
Tennessee	21	\$23.80	18	\$23.63

### Figure 18: Median Water and Sewer Monthly Bills at 5,000 GPM, by River Basin



# Annual Rate and Rate Structure Adjustments

Most Georgia utilities actively evaluate and modify their rate structures every one to two years. The calendar year in which each of the 454 rate structures were first put into effect is shown in Figure 19. The figure shows that 20 percent of the current rate structures were made effective since January 2010, and 55 percent were made effective since January 2009. Ten percent of the rate structures remain unchanged since before 2004.

### Changes in Rate Structures in the Last Year

The trend among Georgia utilities for many years has been to move away from decreasing block rate structures

to either uniform or increasing block structures. This trend is largely driven by an interest in preserving water supplies by promoting water conservation and discouraging excessive or wasteful consumption.

This year's survey included 446 water rate structures and 329 sewer rate structures that were also included in the 2009 survey. Out of the 446 water rate structures included in last year's rates survey, 67 changed in the last year, shown in Table 6. Almost all of the changes were from decreasing block and uniform rates to increasing block rate structures. Overall, there are 14 fewer decreasing block rate structures than last year, and 46 increasing block structures were gained. Among sewer rate structures, 53 were changed between 2007 and 2008, out of the 296 surveyed in both years.

			Changed To				
			Increasing Block	Uniform Rates	Decreasing Block	Other	
		TOTAL	31	4	1	1	
_	Increasing Block	3		1	1	1	
ngec m	Uniform Rate	27	27		0	0	
Char Fro	Decreasing Block	4	3	1		0	
•	Other	3	1	2	0		

### Table 6: Changes to Water Rate Structures from June 2009 to May 2010

### Changes in Residential Rates in the Last Year

Out of the 446 water and 329 sewer rate structures included in last year's rates survey, rates were increased from last year for 62 percent of the water rate structures and 64 percent of sewer rate structures. Figures 20 and 21 show the residential monthly bill increase for customers that use 5,000 GPM among the 275 water and 209 sewer rate structures that have raised rates in the last year. The median increase was \$2.63/month for water (a 14.4 percent increase) and \$3.00/month for sewer (a 14.3 percent increase). There are also a large number of utilities with very high, double-digit rate increases since last year. In all, 183 water rate structures (41 percent in all) saw 11 percent or greater rate increases at the 5,000 GPM level. This is an unusually large number of very high rate increases and may be a reflection of the fact that utilities are responding to water supply vulnerabilities posed by the drought by increasing rates to encourage water conservation.



Figure 19: In What Calendar Year were



### Changes in Conservation Price Signals in the Last Year

One mechanism utilities can manipulate to send a strong pricing signal to encourage water conservation is the rate that customers pay at higher levels of consumption. Average residential consumption is around 5,000 GPM. Seasonal use of water can raise consumption levels for some customers to two or three times this amount, or more, and utilities can discourage excessive use by setting high prices for the next 1,000 gallons of water at that level of consumption. Nearly half of Georgia's utilities raised the residential water rate at high levels of consumption in the past year. Out of the 446 water rate structures included in last year's survey, the price for the next 1,000 gallons at 10,000 GPM was raised for 244 rate structures (55 percent). The distribution of the prices for water for the next 1,000 gallons at that consumption is shown in Figure 22. As shown in the figure, utilities have generally shifted their high use water rates upwards. In particular, a smaller proportion of utilities charge less than \$2/1,000 gallons than last year, and almost 10 percent of utilities charge over \$6/1,000 gallons, whereas only 7 percent of the utilities charged as much last year.



Of course utilities raise rates for many reasons not strictly limited to encouraging water conservation. These reasons may include, for example, nominal increases in operating costs or the need to save up for a major capital project. To understand why utilities are raising rates, utilities were asked, in 2009, specifically whether rate structures were being designed to encourage water conservation. Out of 123 utility respondents to an on-line questionnaire, 59 utilities (48 percent) reported that they had recently conducted a study to design "conservation-oriented" rate structures for their systems, and 40 of those systems (33 percent, overall) further reported that they have since implemented the recommendations of those studies.

### The Status of Full Cost Pricing in Georgia

Comparing rates across the State or among specific utilities is complicated by the variation in the extent to which utilities charge the full cost of providing service. Rates that provide enough revenue to balance an annual budget do not necessarily provide enough revenue to cover long term capital and maintenance needs and many utilities charge much less than the full cost of service provision.

Figure 23 shows combined water and sewer charge for 5,000 gallons plotted against the ratio of operating revenue to operating expenditures from 2008-09. This ratio helps determine whether an entity is operating at a financial loss, financial gain, or is breaking even. The ratio does not account for all operating expenses, however; neither debt service nor depreciation are factored in. Financial data were provided by the Department of Community Affairs through either the annual Report of Local Government Finances or through the Report of Registered Authority Finances.

The figure shows that many utilities are not covering their basic operating expenditures, making it difficult or impossible to rehabilitate aging infrastructure, finance system improvements and expansion, and engage in proactive asset management. It is interesting to note that the utilities that are operating at a financial loss are not always charging low rates; even some utilities with high rates are operating at a financial loss. Nevertheless, utilities which charged lower rates in 2009-10 (to the left of the graph), were slightly more likely to operate under a financial loss (below the horizontal line on the graph).





# Multi-Year Trends in Utility Finances and Rate Setting

Over the last few years, many features of the rate setting environment have changed in Georgia. Droughts have compelled many systems to adopt high volumetric rates or increasing block structures as tools to promote water conservation, more systems have moved towards full cost pricing in the face of declining federal and state

infrastructure grants, and a new statewide water management plan has affected the ways that utilities produce and sell their water. Data collection for the last four years of this Survey has resulted in a rich set of date on trends in utility finances, customer bills, and rate setting practices.

Over the past four years of this Survey, operating ratios (the ratio of operating revenues to operating expenditures)<sup>5</sup> among utilities have varied. The average operating ratio varied from a low of 1.14 in 2006 to a high of 1.42 in 2008 among a sample of 203 water and wastewater utilities<sup>6</sup>. Since 2008 there has been a slow decline in the average, perhaps as a result of persistently reduced demand among





customers following efforts to promote conservation in the 2007-08 drought.



Utilities have raised rates relative to the increase in both consumer prices and construction costs as listed by the Engineering News Record<sup>2</sup>. This divergence may be the result of several factors including the use of pricing as a conservation tool and the downturn in the economy which has slowed down inflation on both consumer prices and construction costs since 2008.

Over the past four years, systems have steadily increased their base charges as well. However, the increase in base charges has been slower than the increase in volumetric charges and the average base charge as a percentage of the average bill (5,000 GPM) has fallen steadily from a high of 55 percent

in 2006 to a low of 52 percent in 2010. This decline is slow and small, but may reflect an effort on the part of water systems to charge more for usage in order to promote water conservation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Note that "operating ratio" is commonly defined as revenues over expenses. Our analysis uses expenditures, neglecting non-cash expenses such as, for example, depreciation expense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Utilities selected based on the availability of data for all four years of analysis and may not reflect Statewide averages precisely

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> http://enr.construction.com/economics/



Finally, systems have steadily shifted from decreasing block and uniform rate structures to increasing block rates structures over the five year period. Uniform rate structures have consistently remained the most widely used rate structure type for both water and sewer.



#### About this Report

This report is one of a series of reports on water and sewer rates and rate structures in Georgia, compiled by the Georgia Environmental Finance Authority (GEFA) and the Environmental Finance Center (EFC). For reports from previous years, including more in-depth analysis on the relationships between rates, rate structures, system characteristics and policies including cost-recovery, conservation, and affordability, please visit our websites at <u>www.gefa.org</u> and <u>www.efc.unc.edu</u>. In addition to survey results, you will also be able to access free, interactive Rates Dashboards which facilitate rate comparisons among utilities and give benchmarks for every rate structure in this Survey.